



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/726,024	10/04/1996	DANIEL A. HENDERSON	317MH-23513	8599
· .	590 08/11/2003			
Hill & Hunn LLP			EXAMINER	
201 Main Street, Suite 1440 FORT WORTH, TX 76102			WEAVER, SCOTT LOUIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2645	2/
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

All

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	08/726,024	HENDERSON, DANIEL A.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott L. Weaver	2645
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:	ţ	•
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>157-188</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-156</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disan	proved by the Examiner.
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		,

Scott L. Weaver Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2645

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 08/726,024





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 1-156 have not been previously canceled as suggested. The amendment and remarks do not correct the confusion as to a "direct connection" in conjuniiton with the claimed telephone network of the independent claim 157, the claim is written such that a direct connection is not the same as the telephone network connection and as such there are two different conneition being claimed, no support for this is provided in the description originally filed and as such the intent is not clear. With respect to claims 166-167, optional data input by the calling party is suggested to be supported by figures 4 and 5 and "elsewhere", each and every of these optional forms of data input by the calling party is not clearly seen in the original description. With respect to claim 183, there is sting to clear support for this device as claimed, the suggested figures 7a and 7b do not describe to one of ordinary skill any more than a pager by the circuitry presented therein. Claim 184 still has a seperate telephone network and a cellular network, the support for two seperate networks is not seen in the original disclosure as being used together as the claims suggest.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			•	
<del></del>		<del>-</del> -	EXAMINER	
		ı		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				30
				_
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**